

	<p style="text-align: center;">UNIVERSITY POLICIES FOR THE COURSE «International Public Law (part II)»</p> <p>Higher education level The First Level Of Higher Education, National Qualifications Framework Of Ukraine – The 6th Level, QF-LLL – The 6th Level, FQ-EHEA – The First Cycle Major: Educational programme 293 International Law: International Law Form of study full-time course Language of instruction English</p>
Teacher(s)	Zavhorodnia Vladyslava Mykolaivna
Contact	Vladyslava Zavhorodnia Room 219, teach. bild.3 (K-3), Petropavliiska Street, 58 Phone +38 0509357783 (viber, telegram).
Time and room for giving consultations	Room 219, teach. bldg. №3 (K-3), Petropavlivska st. 58, Sumy / online Monday, 15.30 - 17.00
Links to online educational platforms	Google Meet; SSU MIX platform
Link to the syllabus in the course catalogue	https://pg.cabinet.sumdu.edu.ua/report/course/8ba2143a5ad6fb7b6b2eca23d8d7a81e5340854
Communication tools	SSU personal account (“cabinet”), SSU MIX platform, e-mail, messengers

POLICIES

Academic integrity policy

All assignments specified in the syllabus must be completed by the student independently. Cheating during any type of assessment is prohibited. The work of a higher education student must not contain plagiarism, fabrication, falsification, or cheating. All written assignments are subject to a plagiarism check, followed by the instructor’s analysis of the results in order to determine the correctness of references to textual and illustrative sources.

During the study of the course, other manifestations of academic dishonesty, as defined by the University Code of Academic Integrity, are also unacceptable.

In case of violations of academic integrity by a higher education student during the study of the course, the instructor has the right to take one of the following actions:

- reduce by up to 40% the number of points earned for a practical assignment;
- provide recommendations for revising a compulsory homework assignment with a reduction of the final score by up to 25%;
- not accept a compulsory homework assignment without granting the right to resubmit it;
- assign a retake of a written module or final assessment with a reduction of the final score by up to 15%;
- refuse to grant a retake of a written module or final assessment.

Policy on the use of artificial intelligence tools

The policy on the use of artificial intelligence tools is announced by the instructor at the beginning of the course.

Використовувати інструменти штучного інтелекту для підготовки робіт, визначених робочою програмою навчальної дисципліни, дозволено для усіх завдань або їх частин. Факт використання інструментів штучного інтелекту обов’язково зазначається у завданні в списку використаних джерел

Несанкціоноване використання інструментів штучного інтелекту є порушенням академічної

добросовісності.

Policy on the use of open access resources

When utilizing materials from open-access sources in the preparation of assignments specified in the syllabus, students must strictly adhere to the terms of the applicable Creative Commons licenses and ensure proper attribution in accordance with copyright regulations.

Attendance policy

Class attendance is mandatory. Under justified circumstances (e.g., illness, participation in academic mobility programs), studies may be conducted according to an individual schedule.

Policy on deadlines and retakes

As a rule, the applicant of higher education is admitted to the final modular control in case only when all types of learning activities are performed. Academic underachievement (“debts”) irrespective the current control measures can be eliminated during the entire period of the course study within the semester. As a rule, rearrangement of the final module control in order to increase the positive knowledge assessment (by points) is not allowed. The applicant of higher education has the right to use two attempts for reassignment of the final semester control – by standing before teacher (for the first time) or before teachers commission (for the second time).

If the total number of points obtained as a result of all assessments corresponds to a passing grade (subject to the mandatory requirement of fulfilling all conditions specified in the syllabus and regulations), it is considered final; a passing grade may not be retaken for the purpose of improvement.

The elimination of academic debt is carried out in accordance with the Regulations on the Organization of the Educational Process of Sumy State University, taking into account the established deadlines, forms of assessment, and procedures for retaking assessment activities.

Policy on appealing assessment results

Appeals and consideration of applications from higher education students regarding the assessment of learning outcomes are carried out in accordance with the Regulations on the Organization of the Educational Process of Sumy State University.

Assessment criteria

The first semester of teaching

SA4. Express survey assessment, 20 scores	
5 (Excellent) <i>Outstanding performance without errors</i>	$90 \leq RD \leq 100$ 18-20 points
4 (Good) <i>Above the average standard but with minor errors</i>	$74 \leq RD < 89$ 15-17 points
3 (Satisfactory) <i>Performance meets the criteria, but with significant shortcomings</i>	$60 \leq RD < 73$ 12-14 points
2 (Fail) <i>Fail – some more work required before the credit can be awarded</i>	$0 \leq RD < 59$ 0-11 points
SA5. Multimedia presentation (preparation, presentation, defense) / Successful completion of online course "International Water Law". Coursera. URL: https://www.coursera.org/learn/international-water-law/home/week/1 for Module 3, 20 scores	
5 (Excellent) <i>Outstanding performance without errors</i>	$90 \leq RD \leq 100$ 18-20 points
4 (Good) <i>Above the average standard but with minor errors</i>	$74 \leq RD < 89$ 15-17 points

3 (Satisfactory) <i>Performance meets the criteria, but with significant shortcomings</i>	60 ≤ RD < 73 12-14 points
2 (Fail) <i>Fail – some more work required before the credit can be awarded</i>	0 ≤ RD < 59 0-11 points
SA3. Practical case (preparation, presentation, defense), 20 scores	
5 (Excellent) <i>Outstanding performance without errors</i>	90 ≤ RD ≤ 100 18-20 points
4 (Good) <i>Above the average standard but with minor errors</i>	74 ≤ RD < 89 15-17 points
3 (Satisfactory) <i>Performance meets the criteria, but with significant shortcomings</i>	60 ≤ RD < 73 12-14 points
2 (Fail) <i>Fail – some more work required before the credit can be awarded</i>	0 ≤ RD < 59 0-11 points
SA1. Final control: exam, 40 scores	
5 (Excellent) <i>Outstanding performance without errors</i>	90 ≤ RD ≤ 100 36-40 points
4 (Good) <i>Above the average standard but with minor errors</i>	74 ≤ RD < 89 30-35 points
3 (Satisfactory) <i>Performance meets the criteria, but with significant shortcomings</i>	60 ≤ RD < 73 24-29 points
2 (Fail) <i>Fail – some more work required before the credit can be awarded</i>	0 ≤ RD < 59 0-23 points

The second semester of teaching

SA4. Express survey assessment, 20 scores	
5 (Excellent) <i>Outstanding performance without errors</i>	90 ≤ RD ≤ 100 18-20 points
4 (Good) <i>Above the average standard but with minor errors</i>	74 ≤ RD < 89 15-17 points
3 (Satisfactory) <i>Performance meets the criteria, but with significant shortcomings</i>	60 ≤ RD < 73 12-14 points
2 (Fail) <i>Fail – some more work required before the credit can be awarded</i>	0 ≤ RD < 59 0-11 points
SA2. Essey / Successful completion of online course "Introduction to International Criminal Law". Coursera URL: https://www.coursera.org/learn/international-criminal-law/home/week/1 for Module 5, 20 scores	
5 (Excellent) <i>Outstanding performance without errors</i>	90 ≤ RD ≤ 100 18-20 points
4 (Good) <i>Above the average standard but with minor errors</i>	74 ≤ RD < 89 15-17 points
3 (Satisfactory) <i>Performance meets the criteria, but with significant shortcomings</i>	60 ≤ RD < 73 12-14 points
2 (Fail) <i>Fail – some more work required before the credit can be awarded</i>	0 ≤ RD < 59 0-11 points
SA3. Practical case (preparation, presentation, defense), 20 scores	

5 (Excellent) <i>Outstanding performance without errors</i>	$90 \leq RD \leq 100$ 18-20 points
4 (Good) <i>Above the average standard but with minor errors</i>	$74 \leq RD < 89$ 15-17 points
3 (Satisfactory) <i>Performance meets the criteria, but with significant shortcomings</i>	$60 \leq RD < 73$ 12-14 points
2 (Fail) <i>Fail – some more work required before the credit can be awarded</i>	$0 \leq RD < 59$ 0-11 points
SA1. Final control: exam, 40 scores	
5 (Excellent) <i>Outstanding performance without errors</i>	$90 \leq RD \leq 100$ 36-40 points
4 (Good) <i>Above the average standard but with minor errors</i>	$74 \leq RD < 89$ 30-35 points
3 (Satisfactory) <i>Performance meets the criteria, but with significant shortcomings</i>	$60 \leq RD < 73$ 24-29 points
2 (Fail) <i>Fail – some more work required before the credit can be awarded</i>	$0 \leq RD < 59$ 0-23 points